

Course - Program Comparison

Data as of: 7/25/12 All ratings on 5-point scale; 1=Strongly Disagree, 5=Strongly Agree

SUS - IFSM - ADLPH - Summer 2012 - Online Session 1 - ONL

Questions		Course Rating										
		IFSM 201		6370		Instructor: Revels, Mark A						
		Str Disagree		Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.		
05	Fac well prep	0	0	4	9	12	0	1	4.32	0.75	25	
06	Good pres	0	1	4	10	10	0	1	4.16	0.85	25	
07	Stim Intrst	0	2	6	7	10	0	1	4.00	1.00	25	
08	Fair Grade	0	0	4	11	10	0	1	4.24	0.72	25	
09	Hlpfl Fdback	0	4	4	8	8	0	2	3.83	1.09	24	
10	Access	0	2	3	10	10	0	1	4.12	0.93	25	
11	Concrrn	0	3	5	9	6	0	3	3.78	1.00	23	
12	Int Chlngng	1	3	4	8	8	0	2	3.79	1.18	24	
13	Clr Obj	0	0	3	12	10	0	1	4.28	0.68	25	
14	Clr Grd Crit	0	0	3	12	11	0	0	4.31	0.68	26	
15	Valu Asgmt	0	1	5	12	8	0	0	4.04	0.82	26	
16	Rqrd Text	1	1	5	8	10	0	1	4.00	1.08	25	
17	Othr Crs Mtrl	0	0	7	6	7	6	0	4.00	0.86	20	
18	Eff Use Tech	0	2	5	5	4	9	1	3.69	1.01	16	
19	Eff Writing	0	5	4	7	3	6	1	3.42	1.07	19	
20	Cmptr Skills	0	2	5	8	10	1	0	4.04	0.98	25	
21	Use Rsrch	0	2	5	8	6	4	1	3.86	0.96	21	
22	Glbl Prspctv	0	4	5	7	3	7	0	3.47	1.02	19	
23	Crit Thnkg	0	4	4	6	6	0	6	3.70	1.13	20	
24	Lab Actvty	0	1	5	5	4	9	2	3.80	0.94	15	
25	Rcmnd CORS	1	1	3	10	9	0	2	4.04	1.04	24	
26	Rcmnd FAC	2	0	3	9	11	0	1	4.08	1.15	25	
27	Pers Goals	1	1	3	11	9	0	1	4.04	1.02	25	
28	Prof Goals	1	1	3	10	8	0	3	4.00	1.04	23	
29	Struc to Lrng	0	2	4	9	8	0	3	4.00	0.95	23	
30	Stdnt Intract	0	1	4	12	8	0	1	4.08	0.81	25	
31	Fac-Stud Intr	0	3	5	9	7	0	2	3.83	1.01	24	
32	Tech on Own	0	0	4	12	10	0	0	4.23	0.71	26	

Program Rating											
IFSM											
		Str Disagree		Str Agree		NA	NR	Mean	STD	No.	
20	16	64	215	324	0	21	4.26	0.96	639		
22	28	86	223	278	0	23	4.11	1.02	637		
19	28	111	229	250	0	23	4.04	1.01	637		
21	22	71	237	286	0	23	4.17	0.98	637		
21	32	78	225	279	0	25	4.12	1.02	635		
16	17	69	237	295	0	26	4.23	0.93	634		
19	27	114	235	224	0	41	4.00	1.00	619		
16	15	84	295	230	0	20	4.11	0.89	640		
14	18	50	277	284	0	17	4.24	0.88	643		
13	11	43	259	318	0	16	4.33	0.84	644		
17	24	75	289	234	0	21	4.09	0.93	639		
22	29	101	257	226	0	25	4.00	1.01	635		
16	19	112	240	165	81	27	3.94	0.95	552		
11	23	105	177	117	187	40	3.85	0.97	433		
16	36	146	247	121	66	28	3.74	0.96	566		
21	36	107	229	201	41	25	3.93	1.04	594		
10	21	101	283	177	41	27	4.01	0.87	592		
14	32	126	234	132	91	31	3.81	0.96	538		
16	22	116	279	188	0	39	3.97	0.93	621		
14	13	92	166	87	248	40	3.80	0.96	372		
21	23	91	269	226	0	30	4.04	0.97	630		
32	24	77	229	266	0	32	4.07	1.07	628		
22	22	109	290	186	0	31	3.95	0.96	629		
23	17	100	299	189	0	32	3.98	0.95	628		
22	22	98	286	199	0	33	3.99	0.96	627		
15	15	79	289	237	0	25	4.13	0.89	635		
21	24	133	269	179	0	34	3.90	0.97	626		
9	4	53	302	270	0	22	4.29	0.76	638		

Instructor Overall	4.08	0.83	4.12	0.86
Course Overall	3.95	0.79	4.03	0.75
Course Design	4.02	0.76	4.09	0.74
Pedagogy	4.04	0.80	4.12	0.83
Interaction	3.99	0.78	4.08	0.82
Course Objectives	3.84	0.90	3.91	0.80

Course - Program Comparison



Course: IFSM 201 Section: 6370

Strong Features

Contributions from the Instructor in class conferences

easy grading

Great instructor.

I thought the project layout was a very clever use of all the material in the class. Doing the PC Specifications Case Study with three of the MS Office applications really helped round out all the material in a cohesive manner.

n/a

none

The information is useful

The quizzes

Improve/Recommend

Dont lock out a course just because we have to take a survey. For people who dont have a lot of time this is a big aggravation.

n/a

N/A

none

None

None really. It was informative and interesting

nothing

The personal budget in Excel seemed random in comparison to the case study.

The projects are excessive